SCEC Advisory Council Recommendations

Palm Springs, California
11 September 2013

Jeff Freymueller, AC Chair University of Alaska Fairbanks



SCEC Advisory Council Membership

- Jeff Freymueller, Chair (University of Alaska Fairbanks)
 - Email: jeff.freymueller@gi.alaska.edu
- Gail Atkinson (University of Western Ontario)
- Roger Bilham (University of Colorado)
- Donna Eberhart-Phillips (UC Davis)
- Kate Long (California Office of Emergency Services)
- Bob Lillie (Oregon State University)
- Susan Cutter (University of South Carolina)
- M. Meghan Miller (UNAVCO)
- Farzad Naeim (John A. Martin and Associates)
- John Vidale (University of Washington)
- Andrew Whittaker (University of Buffalo)



Overall Impressions

- As always, the SCEC meeting is pulsing with scientific energy
 - It is wonderful to see so many students actively participating!
- New SCEC 4 initiatives progressing
 - CGM, CSM, SFSAs.
- "Old" SCEC initiatives continue to develop and evolve
 - CFM, CVM, etc.
- Interaction with the engineering community has been greatly strengthened.
- SCEC collaboration remains vibrant, with enthusiastic participation across many disciplines



Topics of AC Recommendations

- How is SCEC4 doing?
 - A look at progress on the 6 fundamental questions that SCEC has posed for itself
- CEO Advisory Structure and Goals
- Met with SCEC leadership, agency reps in closed session
 - SCEC Director succession proceeding well
 - We were pleased to have the USC Dept Chair and Chair of Search Committee here
 - Budget challenges are being addressed well



SCEC4 Fundamental Questions

- 1. Stress transfer from plate motion to crustal faults: longterm fault slip rates
- 2. Stress-modulated fault interactions and earthquake clustering: evaluation of mechanisms
- 3. Evolution of fault resistance during seismic slip: scale-appropriate laws for rupture modeling
- 4. Structure and evolution of fault zones and systems: relation to earthquake physics
- 5. Causes and effects of transient deformations: slow slip events and tectonic tremor
- 6. Seismic wave generation and scattering: prediction of strong ground motions



1. Stress transfer from plate motion to crustal faults: long-term fault slip rates

Highlights

- High resolution (space and time) geological slip rate estimates on additional faults
- Advances in characterization of earthquake cycle effects in geodetic data
- Geodetic and geologic slip rate estimates are increasingly in agreement, and rates constant in time

- Disagreement remains for Garlock, Mojave segment
- Finding where the slip goes through San Gorgonio Pass (SFSA)
- Earthquake clustering and geologic slip rates



Community Geodetic Model

- The CGM is a challenging task, and a lot of hard work remains to make it a reality.
 - Combining GPS solutions at the time series level is straightforward (e.g., the IGS), but combining velocity fields is much more challenging. Significant challenges remain in constructing a combined InSAR solution and then merging that with GPS.
- Maintaining or expanding participation is critical
- Software development is needed for tools to merge and compare LOS velocity fields for InSAR
 - May have elements in common with software developed for the CSM, but flexibility is needed because the best way to compare and combine results is still under investigation.



2. Stress-modulated fault interactions and earthquake clustering

Highlights

- Continuing accumulation of data for forecasts to test models of interactions
- Evaluation of models with data
- Continuing development of Community Stress Model

- Need global databases to answer critical questions
- Fundamental questions remain about absolute and differential stresses in the ground
- Taking on problems of earthquake early warning would be timely and societally useful – foundational research



3. Evolution of fault resistance during seismic slip

Highlights

- Dynamic rupture simulations are becoming increasingly realistic.
- Incorporation of realistic heterogeneity of properties into numerical models
- Wider range of slip modes between end members "aseismic" and "seismic" in the observations
- New insights from lab experiments continue to come

- Need to put rupture simulations into a more realistic velocity model (collaboration in place to do this).
- Compare, improve agreement with observations.

SC/EC

4. Structure and evolution of fault zones and systems: relation to earthquake physics

Highlights

- Heat generated in earthquakes JFAST, bio studies
- Rheological models of faults in the crust and mantle are advancing
- Experimental results are isolating the special properties of fault zone materials
- Paleoseismology is adjudicating the periodicity, clustering, and oscillating patterns of earthquake recurrence
- Numerical models are allowing ideas about influence of geometry, friction, scattering, etc. to be evaluated
- Continuing progress in constraining fault zone LVZs

SC/EC

4. Structure and evolution of fault zones and systems: relation to earthquake physics

- Still many unknowns subsurface geometry of fault systems, effectiveness of segmentation
- Uncertain danger of coastal thrust faults
- Need to continue to improve models of the development of fault zones



5. Causes and effects of transient deformations

Highlights

- Good progress in characterizing the kinematics of these events
- Rich array of geodetic transients detected
- Tectonic tremor detected on more faults
- Maturation of transient detection exercise
- Creep as an amplifier of stress changes

- Causality of these events remains puzzling
- Impact of short-term transients and seasonal hydrological loading on faults



6. Seismic wave generation and scattering: prediction of strong ground motions

Highlights

- Development of broadband simulation platform, for simulation of many ground motion simulations
- Development of metrics to evaluate ground motions
- Coordination with earthquake engineering activity group to address uses of simulations in evaluating structural performance
- Potential for simulations to address important additional problems in earthquake engineering such as SSI and structure-soil-structure interaction
- Engineers becoming more positive about applications to critical infrastructure and tall buildings

SC/EC6. Seismic wave generation and scattering: prediction of strong ground motions Remaining challenges

- Make the degree of complexity in building analysis commensurate with level of complexity in simulations
- Integrate simulations with engineering effects at local scales, particularly with respect to effects in the upper soil column that can be highly nonlinear
 - How to use simulations to address liquefaction, landslide potential?
- Continuing to build acceptance of simulations in engineering community (misplaced faith in GMPEs in engineering community)
 - Really a matter of educating engineers as to what they are getting
 - This topic is mature enough to engage a broader engineering community (that uses codes) through a webinar
- Deliver the simulation products in useful format for practicing engineers – eg through links to USGS-type webtools.
- Improved treatment of site effects
- How to integrate learning from broadband platform, CyberShake, and dynamic simulations



CEO Advisory Structure and Goals

- CEO-AC subcommittee met April 2013
 - Susan Cutter, University of South Carolina
 - Jim Goltz, California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
 - Kate Long, California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
 - Bob Lillie, Oregon State University
 - Farzad Naeim, John A Martin & Associates
 - Mark Benthien, SCEC Associate Director for CEO
- Reviewed CEO Strategic Plan metrics and milestones and recommended
 - Removing, adding or simplifying metrics to focus on activities and achievable results
 - Extending some milestones
 - Developing statement of how metrics/milestones will be used as a management tool to improve programs, not just a reporting process.

CEO-AC Plans

- CEO-AC reports through the AC
- 4 Advisory Council Members
 - Farzad Naeim, John A Martin & Associates (Chair)
 - Susan Cutter, University of South Carolina
 - Kate Long, California OES
 - Bob Lillie, Oregon State University
- 4 Non-voting Subject Matter Advisors
 Representing CEO Strategic Plan Thrust Areas
 - Implementation Interface
 - Public Education and Preparedness
 - K-14 Earthquake Education Initiative
 - Experimental Learning and Career Advancement



SCEC CEO: The Next 8 Years

- Develop plan over the next year through regular meetings
 - Semi-monthly Webinars presented by CEO subject matter experts from the broader community will address "How can Earth science communication be improved?"
 - Examples: social psychology, public health, risk communication, natural history interpretation, marketing, advertising, emergency management
- CEO-AC will make recommendations to Advisory Council for improvements in CEO program and SCEC 5 proposal
- Webinars also open to entire SCEC Community as well as the broader Communications, Education and Outreach Community
 - CEO Subcommittee hears feedback from wide stakeholder base
 - Webinars themselves serve outreach purpose



Move Beyond Traditional Sources of Funding?

- SCEC is not immune from the impacts of Federal budget crises
 - There are scary potential scenarios for next year and beyond
 - Everyone needs to be an advocate, including talking to your Congressional Delegation
- Explore opportunities for additional (nongovernmental) funding for SCEC, both for focused activities and for the core program



Finally

- It was another exciting and stimulating SCEC Annual Meeting
- Keep up the good work, everyone!
- It has been a lot of fun for the last 1715 years!

